27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: INCRETIN-BASED THERAPIES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This Document Relates to All Cases

Case No. 13-md-2452-AJB-MDD

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER REGULATING DISCOVERY AND OTHER PRETRIAL PROCEEDINGS CONCERNING VICTOZA CASES

Judge: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia Magistrate: Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin

After reviewing the Parties Motion regarding the entry of a case management order regulating discovery and other pretrial proceedings, and the Parties' proposed Order related thereto, and for good cause appearing,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. On or before **September 4, 2015**, the PSC and Novo Nordisk, Inc. (the "Parties") shall submit to the Court a proposed Case Management Order identifying the exact process, timing, and other parameters for selecting and trying bellwether cases. The first two bellwether trials shall be on the dates discussed herein and will solely involve claims related to use of Victoza.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. All Plaintiffs who have served their Plaintiff Fact Sheet by October 9, 2015 will be part of the bellwether eligible pool of cases<sup>2</sup>, unless Counsel for any such Plaintiff notifies Defendants' counsel on or before October 16, 2015 that a particular Plaintiff is unable or otherwise unwilling

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To the extent that deadlines in this CMO conflict with deadlines provided in previous case management orders, the deadlines provided for herein shall control.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In light of the extremis nature of many of the Plaintiffs in this MDL, the Court will allow the PSC to put forth later filed Plaintiffs and/or substitute bellwether discovery selections to ensure Plaintiffs are able, if they so choose, to put forth representative Plaintiffs who will be able to testify live at trial.

to serve as a bellwether trial plaintiff.

- 3. On or before **January 29, 2016**, the Parties shall identify in writing six (6) representative<sup>3</sup> Plaintiffs, or three (3) bellwether candidates per side, that shall serve as bellwether discovery plaintiffs. The process and mechanisms of designations and selections of bellwethers shall be done in accordance with the CMO that is submitted on or before September 4, 2015, as set forth in paragraph 1, above.
- 4. Between January 29, 2016 and August 19, 2016, case-specific bellwether discovery shall take place, with a maximum of five (5) depositions per side for each case. Discovery of Plaintiffs beyond these depositions as part of case-specific core discovery may be permitted only upon agreement of the parties or with leave of Court for good cause shown.
- 5. All non case-specific fact discovery shall be completed on or before **August 19, 2016.** "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for service, notice, and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All disputes concerning fact discovery shall be brought to the attention of the Magistrate Judge no later than sixty (60) days following the date upon which the event giving rise to the dispute occurred or otherwise became apparent, unless the parties agree otherwise or for good cause shown. Counsel are required to meet and confer regarding all discovery disputes pursuant to the requirements of Local Rules 16.5(k) and 26.1(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Parties shall endeavor to meet and confer on the general criteria/parameters that constitute a representative plaintiff, and further, each Party shall then work in good faith to select representative bellwether discovery plaintiffs who meet those general parameters.

- 6. On or before **August 26, 2016**, each Party shall provide the Court with their respective, specified number of bellwether cases from which the bellwether trial cases will be selected as is required in the CMO that will be submitted on September 4, 2015, as set forth in paragraph 1, above.
- 7. On or before **September 1, 2016**, the Court will select two (2) cases to serve as the early bellwether trial cases for the Parties subject to this Order and will designate the order of such bellwether trials. The Court shall select the first case tried from the bellwether selections submitted by the PSC. The Court may, in its sole discretion, choose the second case tried from bellwether plaintiffs submitted by either of the PSC or Defendant. Moreover, the plaintiff chosen for the Parties second bellwether trial shall act as a 'back-up' trial plaintiff to the Parties first trial setting. A third bellwether plaintiff will be selected by the Court to further act as a 'back-up' trial plaintiff to the Parties second trial setting. To the extent possible, at the election of the PSC, the first bellwether trial shall involve a Plaintiff who is then living and able to testify in person or by live video feed at trial.
- 8. After the Court selects the first two (2) bellwether trial cases, the parties shall designate additional fact witnesses who may be called as witnesses in such trials on or before **September 15, 2016**. Depositions of such fact witnesses, not previously deposed during the case-specific core discovery, shall take place on or before **October 28, 2016**. No more than three (3) additional case specific fact witness depositions per side shall be permitted without a showing of good cause. Further discovery as to the third bellwether Plaintiff selected by the Court as a 'back-up' will be stayed pending further order of the Court, or as otherwise agreed by the Parties.
- 9. All expert disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) shall be served on all parties on or before **October 3, 2016.** Any contradictory or rebuttal disclosures within the meaning of Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) shall be

disclosed on or before **November 2, 2016**. Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties, the required expert disclosures shall include an expert report as required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B). If a written report is not required, the disclosure must provide the information required under Rule 26(a)(2)(c), unless the parties agree otherwise. Case specific expert reports, if required, will be dealt with in the CMO that will be submitted on September 4, 2015, as set forth in paragraph 1, above.

- 10. Each expert designation shall include at least two available dates when each expert can be tendered for deposition. The Parties shall work cooperatively and in good faith to provide alternate dates upon request.
- 11. All expert discovery shall be completed by the Parties on or before **December 1, 2016.** "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off-date, taking into account the times for service, notice, and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. All disputes concerning expert discovery shall be brought to the attention of the magistrate Judge no later than forty-five (45) days following the date upon which the event giving rise to the dispute occurred or otherwise became apparent, unless the Parties agree otherwise or for good cause shown. Counsel are required to meet and confer regarding all discovery disputes pursuant to the requirements of Local Rules 16.5(k) and 26.1(a).
  - a. The parties intend that the limitations on expert discovery set forth in Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the provision of Rule 26(b)(4)(A)-(D) limiting discovery with respect to draft reports, communications with

experts, and depositions of consulting experts, shall apply to all cases, whether pending in state or federal court.

- 12. All other dispositive motions, including those addressing Daubert issues, must be filed on or before **December 30, 2016.** Please be advised that counsel for the moving party must obtain a motion hearing date from the law clerk of the judge who will hear the motion. Any hearings on dispositive motions, including those addressing Daubert issues, must be set on or before **March 1, 2017**. Motions in Limine are to be filed as directed in the Local Rules, or as otherwise set by Judge Battaglia.
  - a. Summary Judgment Motions and/or Daubert Motions need not be filed, and the Court encourages the parties to file meritorious motions rather than motions for "the sake of motions." The Court will consider awarding costs and expenses to any Party who prevails on what the Court considers to be a Summary Judgment Motion and/or Daubert Motion filed against a Party that appears to have been filed for "the sake of motions."
  - b. Questions regarding this case should be directed to the Judge's law clerk. The Court draws the parties' attention to Local Rule 7.1(e)(4) which requires that the parties allot <u>additional time for service of motion papers by mail.</u> Papers not complying with the rule shall not be accepted for filing.
  - c. Responses to Summary Judgment Motions and or Daubert Motions, if any, shall be filed on or before **February 1, 2017**. Replies to responses are discouraged by the Court, but if necessary to address new issues raised in a response, may be filed within five (5) days after the response to which it replies upon leave of Court with the proposed reply attached thereto.
  - d. Briefs or memoranda in support of or in opposition to any

pending discovery motion shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages in length without leave of the judge who will hear the motion. No reply memorandum shall exceed ten (10) pages without leave of the judge who will hear the motion. The Court will set special page limits after conferring with the Parties in the future in relation to Daubert issues.

- 13. On or before **August 5, 2016**, the Parties will each designate settlement counsel(s) to be the primary contact(s) for settlement discussions and agree on a Mediator to facilitate settlement negotiations. In the event the parties are not able to agree on a Mediator, they will notify the court jointly on or before **August 19, 2016**, through a single, joint letter requesting the designation of a Mediator by this Court. Such letter shall not exceed two pages in length, and may identify up to three proposed Mediators proposed by each side.
- 14. Beginning on or before **September 21, 2016**, the Parties shall meet and confer at least one time per month to discuss settlement. Initial conferences may take place in person, via videoconference, or by other means at the Parties' discretion, provided the designated Mediator is a part of at least one such communication per month. No later than **November 18, 2016**, at least one in-person session shall have taken place at a site mutually agreed upon by the Parties. Thereafter, negotiations may continue either in person or as agreed to by the Parties and the Mediator. Settlement conferences shall not include any Defendants other than the Parties to this Order unless otherwise agreed by the PSC and all Defendants in MDL 2452.
- 15. The mediator and settlement counsel for the Parties may, in the Court's discretion, be asked to report to the Court on the status of settlement discussions. Nothing in this Order is intended to limit the Parties' abilities to engage in additional settlement negotiations.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Furthermore, after expert reports are exchanged and depositions 16. of experts are taken, if any, as well as any good faith Rule 702 motions are filed, the Parties shall meet with the Mediator for purposes of convening focus groups to further settlement negotiations and to attempt to arrive at settlement values. At the conclusion of the focus groups, the Parties shall engage in good faith mediation with the Mediator who shall be given full authority to use every means at his disposal to engage the Parties in an effort to settle the litigation before the first bellwether trial begins. The Parties shall share the expenses of the focus groups and Mediator equally. The focus groups contemplated herein shall be completed on or before January 13, 2017; and further, the good faith mediation with the Mediator following the focus groups shall be completed on or before January 20, 2017. Focus groups shall not include any Defendants other than the Parties to this Order unless otherwise agreed by the PSC and all Defendants in MDL 2452.

17. A final Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on **February 3, 2017** at **10:00 a.m.** in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Mitchell Dembin. The appointed Mediator shall attend this mediation session along with Judge Dembin. The Parties shall share the fees and expenses of the Mediator equally. Counsel shall submit **confidential** settlement statements **directly** to Magistrate Judge Dembin's chambers no later than **January 27, 2017.** 

a. Each party's settlement statement shall set forth the party's statement of the case, identify controlling legal issues, concisely set out issues of liability and damages, and shall set forth the party's settlement position, including the last offer or demand made by the party, and a separate statement of the offer or demand the party is prepared to make at the settlement

conference. The settlement conference briefs shall not be filed with the Clerk of the Court, shall be confidential, and shall not be shared with or served upon any other Party.

- b. All claims adjusters for insured defendants and representatives with complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, as well as the principal attorney(s) responsible for the litigation, must be present and legally and factually prepared to discuss and resolve the case at the Mandatory Settlement Conference. Outside retained corporate counsel <a href="mailto:shall not">shall not</a> appear on behalf of a corporation as the party who has the authority to negotiate and enter into a settlement. All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential.
- c. The Mandatory Settlement Conference shall not include any Defendants other than the Parties to this Order unless otherwise agreed by the PSC and all Defendants in MDL 2452.
- 18. No Memorandum of Law of Contentions of Fact are to be filed.
- 19. The parties must comply with the pretrial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) no later than <u>February 15, 2017</u>. The parties should consult Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) for the substance of the required disclosures.
  - a. Please be advised that failure to comply with this section or any other discovery order of the Court may result in the sanctions provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, including a prohibition on the introduction of designated matters in evidence.
- 20. The parties must meet and confer on or before <u>February 22</u>, <u>2017</u>, and prepare a proposed pretrial order containing the following:
  - a. A joint neutral statement to be read to the jury, not in excess of

two pages, of the nature of the case and the claims and defenses.

- b. A list of the causes of action to be tried, referenced to the Complaint (and Counterclaim if applicable). For each cause of action, the order shall succinctly list the elements of the claim, damages and any defenses. A cause of action in the Complaint (and/or Counterclaim), which is not listed, shall be dismissed with prejudice.
- c. A list, in alphabetical order, of each witness counsel actually expects to call at trial with a brief statement, not exceeding four sentences, of the substance of the witnesses' testimony.
- d. A list, in alphabetical order, of each expert witness counsel actually expect to call at trial with a brief statement, not exceeding four sentences, of the substance of the expert witnesses' testimony.
- e. A list, in alphabetical order, of additional witnesses, including experts, counsel do not expect to call at this time but reserve the right to call at trial along with a brief statement, not exceeding four sentences, of the substance of the witnesses' testimony.
- f. A list of all exhibits that counsel actually expect to offer at trial with a one-sentence description of the exhibit.
- g. A list of all exhibits that counsel do not expect to offer at this time but reserve the right to offer if necessary at trial with a one-sentence description of the exhibit.
- h. A statement of all facts to which the parties stipulate. This statement must be on a separate page and will be read to and provided to the jury.
- i. A list of all deposition transcripts by page and line, or videotape depositions by section, that will be offered at trial. Over

28

- 10 -

Hon. Mitchell D. Dembin U.S. Magistrate Judge